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This report only applies to the tested specimens and has been established to the best of our knowledge. Only the entire report shall be reproduced. Under no circumstances, extracts shall be used. Furthermore, we apply the “General Terms and Conditions for the Execution of Contracts” of the Textiles & Flooring Institute GmbH, also with regard to the order execution.
1 Transaction

Test order Classification of the reaction to fire according to EN 13501-1:2010
Order date 22 April 2014, 09 May 2014
Your reference T. Tehomaa
Product designation(s) Upofloor Zero
TFI sample number 14-04-0222, 14-05-0104

2 Product specification

The construction product is completely described in the test report mentioned under item 3 and in the corresponding Annex KT. The test report provides the basis for the present classification.

3 Results

3.1 Test reports and test results used for the classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test laboratory</th>
<th>Customer</th>
<th>Test report no.</th>
<th>Test method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Textiles &amp; Flooring Institute GmbH</td>
<td>Upofloor Oy</td>
<td>440631-01 dated 28 May 2014</td>
<td>EN ISO 9239-1:2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN ISO 11925-2:2010 (15 s ignition time)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test method</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Number of tests</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 9239-1:2010</td>
<td>Average critical heat flux [kW/m²]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mean value = 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Integrated smoke value [% x min.]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN ISO 11925-2:2010</td>
<td>Flame tip &lt; 150 mm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Result Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Classification and field of application

The construction product “Upofloor Zero” is classified as follows with regard to the reaction to fire:

\( C_{fl} \)

The additional classification with regard to the smoke development is:

\( s_1 \)

The additional classification with regard to burning droplets/particles is:

- 

The format of the reaction to fire classification for floor coverings is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction to fire</th>
<th>Smoke development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( C_{fl} )</td>
<td>( s )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Classification of the reaction to fire: \( C_{fl} \cdot s_1 \)

This classification is valid for the following end use application:

- Type of end use application: horizontally laid floor covering
- Substrate: noncombustible substrates (Euroclass A1 and A2-s1,d0) with a gross density \( \geq 1350 \text{ kg/m}^3 \)
- Underlay for installation: No
- Type of fixation: glued
- Adhesive: Uzin KE 2000 S, Uzin Utz AG
- Joint: No

Limitations

The classification assigned to the construction product in this report is suited for a declaration of conformity by the manufacturer or a Declaration of Performance within the context of system 3 attestation of conformity and CE marking under the Construction Products Directive or Construction Products Regulation.
The manufacturer has made a declaration, which is held on file. This declaration confirms that the design of the product does not require any specific processes, procedures or stages (e.g. no addition of flame-retardants, limitation of organic contents or addition of fillers) that are aimed at enhancing the fire performance in order to obtain the classification achieved. As a consequence, the manufacturer has concluded that system 3 for the attestation of conformity respectively system 3 for the assessment and verification of the constancy of performance is appropriate.

The test laboratory has, therefore, played no part in sampling the product for the test, although it holds appropriate references, supplied by the manufacturer, to provide for traceability of the samples tested.